1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7		
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. C14-5969 BHS
9	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
10	ELAINE GIBNEY,	APPLICATION/OBJECTIONS
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Elaine Gibney's ("Gibney")	
14	application for writ of garnishment. Dkt. 29.	
15	On July 16, 2015, the Court granted the Government's motion for a continuing	
16	garnishee order for non-wages. Dkt. 27. The order required Garnishee Fidelity	
17	Investments to continually make payments to the Court from Gibney's retirement	
18	account. <i>Id.</i> On March 12, 2018, Gibney filed the instant application contesting the	
19	Government's withdrawals in 2015 on the basis that the Government failed to properly	
20	account for taxes. Dkt. 29. Such failure, Gibney argues, has left Gibney with additional	
21	IRS debt in excess of \$56,000. Id.	
22		

On March 26, 2018, the Government responded arguing that the application fails for at least two reasons. Dkt. 30. First, the Government argues that Gibney's objections are untimely because objections must be made within 20 days from service of the writ of garnishment. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 3202(d). The Court agrees that Gibney's objections are untimely.

Second, the Government argues that it withheld a sufficient amount for taxes. The Government claims that the statutory minimum withholding is 20% and that it withheld approximately 25% of the proceeds for taxes. Dkt. 30 at 4–5. The Court agrees that, even if it addressed the merits, Gibney has failed to show that the Government committed any error in withholding taxes. Therefore, the Court **DENIES** Gibney's application/objections.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 17th day of April, 2018.

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge